Letter to the Editor

In Reference to Barbed Stayed Bridge Pharyngoplasty (BSBP)

Dear Editor,

We were instantly drawn to the recent publication by Magliulo et al. in The Laryngoscope on Barbed Stayed Bridge Pharyngoplasty (BSBP) because of its novel method for patients with obstructive sleep apnea (OSA). We applaud the authors for their thorough explanation. The main advantage of BSBP is that it retains the palatopharyngeal muscle (PPM) producing significant expansion and stabilization of the oropharyngeal airway techniques such as Barbed Reposition Pharyngoplasty (BRP) that involve partial transposition.² Therefore, BSBP may offer a more stable long-term treatment for OSA, preserving PPM and reducing the likeliof consequences such as velopharyngeal insufficiency or palatal dysfunction.3 The study's thorough and systematic methodology is demonstrated by the Friedman staging system and drug-induced sleep endoscopy (DISE) for patient selection. The encouraging findings indicate that BSBP is effective in reducing OSA symptoms, as evidenced by the noteworthy decreases in AHI and ODI that were documented at 3, 6, and 12 months after surgery. Nonetheless, more discussion is necessary due to the study's shortcomings. The findings' applicability to a larger OSA population may be limited due to the small sample size of 10 individuals. Furthermore, even though the 12-month follow-up period yielded significant initial data, it might not have been long enough to evaluate the technique's long-term durability or the onset of late complications.⁴ The procedure's success was unaffected by the modest difficulties mentioned by the authors, such as suture extrusion. If these issues had any effect on patient satisfaction or quality of life, it would be interesting to know. Additionally, a direct comparison of BSBP with other well-established surgical procedures OSA, for like expansion sphincter pharyngoplasty or uvulopalatopharyngoplasty (UPPP), might be helpful in determining the relative safety and efficacy of each procedure.⁵ This would make it possible to choose the best surgical strategy for each patient with greater knowledge. Future studies should focus on conducting larger, multicenter studies with longer follow-up periods, comparing BSBP with other surgical techniques, and providing an analysis of the impact on patients' QoL

Send correspondence to Antonino Maniaci, MD, PhD, University Enna Kore, Enna 94100, Italy. Email: thmaniaci29@gmail.com

DOI: 10.1002/lary.31560

Laryngoscope 134: November 2024

in order to address these shortcomings. Although more investigation is required to adequately assess its potential, the preliminary findings reported by Magliulo et al. are promising and imply that BSBP could develop into a useful tool in the toolbox of sleep surgeons.

ANTONINO MANIACI, MD, PhD Department of Medicine and Surgery, School of Medicine, University of Enna "Kore", 94100, Enna, Italy Research Study Group of Young-Otolaryngologists of the International Federations of Oto-rhino-laryngological Societies (YO-IFOS), Paris, France

SALVATORE RONSIVALLE, MD ENT Department, Gravina Hospital Caltagirone, ASP Catania, 95041, Caltagirone, Italy

JEROME R. LECHIEN, MD, PhD Properties of Study Group of Young-Otolaryngologists of the International Federations of Oto-rhino-laryngological Societies (YO-IFOS), Paris,

France
Department of Anatomy and Experimental Oncology, Mons School of
Medicine, UMONS Research Institute for Health Sciences and
Technology, 7000, Mons, Belgium

Editor's Note: This Manuscript was accepted for publication on April 30, 2024.

The authors have no funding, financial relationships, or conflicts of interest to disclose.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Magliulo G, Iannella G, Casale M, Vicini C, Pace A. Barbed stayed bridge pharyngoplasty (BSBP). Laryngoscope. 2024;134:3853-3855. https://doi. org/10.1002/lary.31425.
- Vicini C, Hendawy E, Campanini A, et al. Barbed reposition pharyngoplasty (BRP) for OSAHS: a feasibility, safety, efficacy and teachability pilot study. "We are on the giant's shoulders". Eur Arch Orthiolaryngol. 2015;279(10):3065-3070. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00405.015-3698-3
- 2015;272(10):3065-3070. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00405-015-3628-3.

 3. Tang JA, Salapatas AM, Bonzelaar LB, Friedman M. Long-term incidence of velopharyngeal insufficiency and other sequelae following uvulopalatopharyngoplasty. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2017;156(4): 606-610. https://doi.org/10.1177/0194599816688646
- 606-610. https://doi.org/10.1177/0194599816688646.
 4. Pinczel AJ, Woods CM, Catcheside PG, et al. Sleep apnea multi-level surgery trial: long-term observational outcomes. Sleep. 2024;47(1):zsad218. https://doi.org/10.1093/sleep/zsad218.
- Cammaroto G, Montevecchi F, D'Agostino G, et al. Palatal surgery in a transoral robotic setting (TORS): preliminary results of a retrospective comparison between uvulopalatopharyngoplasty (UPPP), expansion sphincter pharyngoplasty (ESP) and barbed repositioning pharyngoplasty (BRP). Acta Otorhinolaryngol Ital. 2017;37(5):406-409. https://doi.org/10.14639/ 0392-100X-1321.